

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/456,897	12/08/1999	KEITH THOMAS AHERN	PHA-23.778	7056
75	90 10/14/2003		EXAM	INER
CORPORATE PATENT COUNSEL			YUAN, ALMARI ROMERO	
U S PHILIPS CORPORATION 580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TARRYTOWN, NY 10591			2176	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i'	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/456,897	AHERN, KEITH THOMAS				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William L. Bashore	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 9/23/2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>27-33</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other: PRIMARY EXAMINATION						

¹ C ntinuati n Sh t (PTOL-303) 09/456,897

Continuation of 5. does NOT place th application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding Applicant's traversal of examiners restriction requirement on pages 6-7 of the amendment, it is respectfullu submitted that independent claim 16 do s not recite "encoding", or "parsing", but rather claims production of a document involving script and location coordinates, which are directed to document production/rendering (715/526). Independent claim 27 specifically claims "encoding" of a document utilizing parsing of content, which is directed towards document encoding and conversion (715/523). For at least the above reasons, the examiner's requirement for restriction is maintained, and claims 27-33 remain withdrawn from consideration.

Applicant argues on page 7-9 of the amendment that the cited references do not teach the instant claimed limitations. It is respectfully noted that Freund teaches text portions of visible text, Business Wire teaches script portions exclusive of text (invisible characters), and Powers teaches location parameter identifiers/coordinates (see also previous Office Action).